

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Ratest and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. For 1450
Alexaldia, Virginia 22313-1450
www.stato.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,174	03/15/2001	Craig McCoy	10004231-1 7078	
7590 08/25/2006			EXAMINER ·	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2192	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/810,174	MCCOY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuck O. Kendall	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reptly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>			
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	,	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5 – 8,10 – 15 & 17 – 31</u> is/are po	ending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5 – 8,10 – 15 & 17 – 31</u> is/are re	eiected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2001 is/are: a	·	n by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·	• •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, (=, == (-,=	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	•	Č	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/810,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 07/06/06.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5 8,10 15 & 17 31 and have been amended and are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5 8,10 15,17 24 & 26 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. USPN 6,067,582 in view of Puhl et al. USPN 6,223,291 B1.

Regarding claim 1, Smith discloses a method of installing components of a software product on a first network server device coupled to a network the components of the software product providing the first network server device the capability to provide a first service to a plurality of server-assisted network devices coupled to the network, the method comprising:

automatically detecting with the first network server device a first set of serverassisted network devices coupled to the network that are eligible to use the first service (3:20 – 25, see confirms acceptance and verifying for eligible);

automatically transmitting device information based on the detected serverassisted network devices to a second network server device (3:23 – 25, see identification information);

receiving license information from the second network server device based on the transmitted device information (3:20-23);

automatically determining a cost and a number licenses needed (9:32 – 45, see purchasing predetermined number of licenses, also for cost see 9:1 – 5 for billing information);

automatically purchasing at least a portion of the licenses (9:23 – 25, and 45 – 50)

storing configuration information associated with the particular license purchased (7:1 – 15, see particular see configuration and information specific to the remote computer);

automatically installing components of the software product on the first network server device and configuring the server based on the configuration information (7:35 – 40);

displaying an information screen identifying the number of server-assisted network devices in the first set; and automatically installing components of the software product on the first network server device (FIG. 2, 52).

Smith doesn't explicitly disclose displaying cost information based on the number of server-assisted network devices in the first set, the cost information representing the cost to install components of the software product and provide the first service to the server-assisted network devices in the first set; and automatically installing firmware on each server-assisted network device in the first set to support the first service.

However, Puhl in an analogous art and similar configuration discloses maintaining a list of wireless devices and license information associated with them (1:35 – 40) as well as, disclosing bill based on added items on the wireless devices 19:1 – 15 and delivering the item (downloading) 19:22 – 27. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Smith and Puhl because, it would make the system more manageable.

Regarding claim 2, the method of claim 1, and further comprising: automatically installing components of the software product on each server-assisted network device in the first set (4:52 – 54)

Regarding claim 5, the method of claim 1, and further comprising: receiving payment information identifying a means of payment for use of the software product (17:15 – 25, see billing information).

Regarding claim 6, the method of claim 5, and further comprising: automatically transmitting the payment information to the second network server device (7:15 – 17, see billing information is transferred back to server module).

Application/Control Number: 09/810,174

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claim 7, the method of claim 1, storing at least a portion of the received license information on the first network server device (9: 52 – 55).

Regarding claim 8, see rationale as previously discussed above in claim 7, which claims similarly to above in reference to storing licensing information.

Regarding claim 10, the method of claim 1, wherein each server-assisted network device in the first set is one of a personal computer, printer, scanner, and a digital sender device (2:43 – 45, for personal computer, see plurality of attached computer clients).

Regarding claim 11, the method of claim 1, and further comprising: receiving device selection information from a user identifying server-assisted network devices in the first set that are to be provided the first service (7:5 – 15).

Regarding claim 12, the method of claim 11, and further comprising: automatically installing components of the software product on each identified server-assisted network device (7:37 - 40).

Regarding claim 13, which discloses similar limitations as claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above

Regarding claim 14, which is the product version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 15, which is the product version of claim 2, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 17, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,174

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claim 18, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 2, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 19, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 3, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 20, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 4, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 21, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 5, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 22, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 6, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 23, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 7, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 24, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 8, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 26, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 10, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 27, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 11, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 28, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 12, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 29, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 13, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,174 Page 7

Art Unit: 2192

5. Claims 9,16 & 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. USPN 6,067,582 in view of Puhl et al. USPN 6,223,291 B1 as applied in claim 1, 14, and 17 and further in view of Barrett et al. USPN 5,647,056.

Regarding claims 9 & 16, Smith as modified disclose all the claimed limitations as applied in claims 1 & 14. The combination of Smith and Puhl does not explicitly disclose automatically installing firmware on each server-assisted network device in the first set to support the first service.

However, Barrett does disclose loading firmware in a similar configuration (FIG.24, S2403), for multiple terminals. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time then invention was made to combine Smith and Puhl, with Barrett because, it would enable firmware to be distributed and installed from a remote location.

Regarding claim 25, which is the computer readable medium version of claim 9, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5 - 8,10 - 15 & 17 - 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,174

Art Unit: 2192

Conclusion

Page 8

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.

Unnele Kerdell 8/21/06